

GENERAL SOLUTIONS FOR TUNNELING OF SCALAR FIELDS WITH QUARTIC POTENTIALS

Fred C. Adams

*Physics Department, University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109*

submitted to *Physical Review D*

9 February, 1993

ABSTRACT

For the theory of a single scalar field φ with a quartic potential $V(\varphi)$, we find semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action in both four and three dimensions. The action in four dimensions determines the quantum tunneling rate at zero temperature from a false vacuum state to the true vacuum state; similarly, the action in three dimensions determines the thermal tunneling rate for a finite temperature theory. We show that for all quartic potentials, the action can be obtained from a one parameter family of instanton solutions corresponding to a one parameter family of differential equations. We find the solutions numerically and use polynomial fitting formulae to obtain expressions for the Euclidean action. These results allow one to calculate tunneling rates for the entire possible range of quartic potentials, from the thin-wall (nearly degenerate) limit to the opposite limit of vanishing barrier height. We also present a similar calculation for potentials containing $\varphi^4 \ln \varphi^2$ terms, which arise in the one-loop approximation to the effective potential in electroweak theory.

PACS numbers: 03.70+k, 05.70Fh, 98.80.Cq, 98.80Dr

I. INTRODUCTION

The early universe may have experienced a series of first order phase transitions. In these phase transitions, scalar fields can be an important component of the universe and thus the tunneling of scalar fields from one vacuum state to another plays an important role. Once a field configuration becomes trapped in a metastable state (the false vacuum), bubbles of the true vacuum state nucleate in the sea of false vacuum and begin growing spherically. The basic problem is to calculate the tunneling rate (the decay probability) from the false vacuum state to the true vacuum state, i.e., the bubble nucleation rate per unit time per unit volume. For tunneling of scalar fields at zero temperature (generally called quantum tunneling), the four-dimensional Euclidean action of the theory largely determines this tunneling rate. For tunneling at finite temperature (thermal tunneling), the three dimensional Euclidean action must be determined. In this paper, we derive semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action in both four and three dimensions for the general case of a single scalar field with a quartic potential.

In the original version of the inflationary universe [1], the phase transition which ends the inflationary epoch is first order and proceeds through the quantum tunneling of scalar fields from a false vacuum state to a true vacuum state. Although this version of inflation has been shown to have problems [2], many alternate models which also occur through first order phase transitions have been proposed. These models include extended inflation [3], double field inflation [4], hyperextended inflation [5], and many others. The exact tunneling rates are important for inflationary models because these rates determine whether or not the phase transition can complete [2] and also determine the size spectrum of bubbles (and hence cosmological perturbations) produced [6].

In addition to the inflationary universe paradigm, other first order phase transitions might occur in the early universe. For example, many papers have explored possible consequences of a first order electroweak phase transition, in particular the possibility of generating the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe [7]. The possibility that the electroweak vacuum is unstable has also been proposed and the tunneling rates have been used to constrain particle masses in the Weinberg-Salam model [8].

Although tunneling of scalar fields can be important, relatively little work has been done on calculating general tunneling rates. Previous work [9, 10, 11] has shown that this tunneling rate can be calculated using semiclassical techniques (these are reviewed in §II). The original calculation [9, 10] focused on the case of nearly degenerate vacua (i.e., a small energy difference between the two vacuum states) and found a closed form analytic solution for the tunneling rate. The limit of nearly degenerate vacua is also called the “thin wall limit” because, in this limit, the length scale over which the field φ changes its value from one vacuum to another is short compared to the radius of the bubble. Unfortunately, except for this solution in the thin wall limit, closed form solutions for the Euclidean action are rare. Many authors simply use the solution for the thin wall limit, even though the vacua in the problem are not sufficiently equal in energy for the approximation to be valid. The other alternative is to calculate numerically the Euclidean action and hence the tunneling rate for specific potentials [8, 12]. The case of tunneling without potential barriers (i.e., with perfectly flat potentials) has also been

considered [13]. Recently, the particular cases of triangle and square potentials have been calculated analytically [14]. All of the results discussed thus far apply to tunneling at zero temperature, i.e., quantum tunneling. The theory of tunneling has been generalized to include the decay of the false vacuum at finite temperatures [15]. As before, closed form solutions for the Euclidean action are generally not available.

In this paper, we provide a more general solution to this problem. We first show that for a general class of quartic potentials with two minima, the problem can be reduced to a one parameter family; the remaining parameters in the potential are scaled out of the problem. We then find the solutions for this entire one parameter family using numerical techniques and derive polynomial fitting formulae to express the results. We thus obtain semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action in both four and three dimensions; these expressions can be used to determine the tunneling rate for this entire class of quartic potentials with two minima. We also perform this same calculation for quartic potentials containing logarithmic terms, such as those which arise in the effective potential of electroweak theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In §II, we review the semiclassical formalism used to calculate the tunneling rates [9, 11, 15]. In §III, we study the case of a general quartic potential with two minima and find semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action in both three and four dimensions; these expressions are valid for the entire class of potentials. In §IV, we consider the case of quartic potentials with logarithmic terms, such as those which arise in electroweak theory. We conclude in §V with a discussion of our results.

II. BASIC FORMULATION

In this section, we quickly review the formalism used for calculating tunneling probabilities [9, 10, 11, 15]. This discussion applies to the theory of a single scalar field φ with a potential $V(\varphi)$ which has both a false vacuum state (a metastable state) and a true vacuum state. The quantity of physical interest is the decay probability Γ/L^3 per unit time per unit volume. We consider both the cases of tunneling at zero temperature and at finite temperature.

A. Quantum Tunneling at Zero Temperature

As shown by previous authors [9], in the zero temperature limit this quantity can be written (to leading order in $\hbar$) in the form

$$\frac{\Gamma}{L^3} = K e^{-S_{E4}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where K is a determinantal factor [16] and where S_{E4} is the Euclidean action in four dimensions (see Eq. [2.6] below). Since the factor K is generally of order η^4 (where η is the energy scale of the phase transition – see Refs. [9–11]) and the nucleation rate depends exponentially on the action S_{E4} , we will focus on the calculation of the action in this paper.

In order to compute the action S_{E4} , we must find the solutions to the Euclidean equations of motion for the field

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_E^2} + \nabla^2 \right\} \varphi = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (2.2)$$

where t_E denotes the Euclidean time coordinate [17]. Although, in principle, all possible solutions of Eq. (2.2) contribute to the tunneling process, Coleman [9] has shown that the solution with the least action dominates. Furthermore, the solution with the least action has $O(4)$ symmetry [18]. As a result, we must solve the following $O(4)$ symmetric Euclidean equation, which takes the deceptively simple form

$$\frac{d^2 \varphi}{dr^2} + \frac{3}{r} \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (2.3)$$

where r is the four dimensional radial coordinate.

The appropriate boundary conditions for this problem [9] are

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\varphi = \varphi_F \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where φ_F denotes the value of the field in the false vacuum state. The boundary condition (2.4) is required to keep the action nonsingular at the origin of coordinates $r = 0$. The condition $\varphi = \varphi_F$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is really a combination of two conditions: The first is that we want the instanton solution (also called the bounce) to go from the false vacuum state ($\varphi = \varphi_F$) as $t_E \rightarrow -\infty$ and then back to the false vacuum state as $t_E \rightarrow +\infty$. The second condition is that we must also require $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_F$ at spatial infinity ($|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$) in order to keep the action finite. These two conditions become Eq. (2.5) for the $O(4)$ symmetric theory (see Ref. [9] for further discussion).

The desired Euclidean action then takes the form

$$S_{E4} = 2\pi^2 \int_0^\infty r^3 dr \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dr} \right)^2 + V[\varphi(r)] \right], \quad (2.6)$$

where $\varphi(r)$ is the $O(4)$ symmetric solution discussed above.

B. Thermal Tunneling at Finite Temperature

The expression (2.1) is only valid at zero temperature. At finite temperature, the analogous expression for the decay probability per unit time per unit volume takes the form

$$\frac{\Gamma_T}{L^3} = K_T e^{-S_{E3}/T}, \quad (2.7)$$

where T is the temperature, K_T is a new temperature dependent determinantal factor and S_{E3} is the Euclidean action in three dimensions [15]. Typically we expect $K_T = \mathcal{O}(T^4)$ and we again focus only on the calculation of the Euclidean action in this paper.

To evaluate the action S_{E3} , we must solve the $O(3)$ symmetric equation of motion

$$\frac{d^2\varphi}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \frac{\partial V(\varphi, T)}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (2.8)$$

where r is now the usual radial coordinate in three dimensions. Keep in mind that the potential $V(\varphi, T)$ appearing in equation (2.8) generally contains temperature dependent terms and is not the same as the zero temperature potential in the previous subsection. Various expressions for the potentials in finite temperature field theory are discussed in Refs. [19].

The boundary conditions for this problem are

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\varphi = \varphi_F \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.10)$$

where φ_F denotes the location of the false vacuum. Notice that these boundary conditions are almost the same as those of Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5); the only difference is that r is now the radial coordinate in three dimensions. The corresponding three dimensional action is

$$S_{E3} = 4\pi \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dr} \right)^2 + V[\varphi(r), T] \right], \quad (2.11)$$

where $\varphi(r)$ is the $O(3)$ symmetric solution to equation (2.8).

III. GENERAL SOLUTIONS FOR QUARTIC POTENTIALS

In this section we obtain semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action for a general class of quartic potentials with two minima. We begin by considering the most general form for such a potential,

$$V(\varphi) = \lambda\varphi^4 - a\varphi^3 + b\varphi^2 + c\varphi + d, \quad (3.1)$$

where we have explicitly written the coefficient of the cubic term with a minus sign (here, $a > 0$) in order to make the location of the true vacuum occur for positive φ [20]. We are interested in potentials having two minima which correspond to a false vacuum and a true vacuum. Without loss of generality, we can place the location of the false vacuum at the origin ($\varphi = 0, V = 0$); we can therefore set $d = 0 = c$. The choice $d = 0$ is allowed because the constant term in the potential does not enter into the dynamics [21]; the choice $c = 0$ is allowed because we can make a translation of the field $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \eta$ to place

the minimum of the potential at $\varphi = 0$. Notice that we must have $\lambda > 0$ to keep the potential bounded from below and we must have $b > 0$ to make the potential a minimum (rather than a maximum) at $\varphi = 0$.

Thus far, the equation of motion we need to solve takes the form

$$\frac{d^2\varphi}{dr^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = 4\lambda\varphi^3 - 3a\varphi^2 + 2b\varphi, \quad (3.2)$$

where r is the radial coordinate in N dimensions. In this paper, we consider both the case in which $N = 4$ for the $O(4)$ symmetric theory and the case in which $N = 3$ for the $O(3)$ symmetric theory. The equation of motion thus has three unspecified constants (λ , a , and b). However, we can rescale both the radial coordinate r and the field φ . If we adopt the judicious choice of new variables ϕ and ξ defined according to

$$\phi \equiv \frac{4\lambda}{a} \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \equiv \frac{a}{2\lambda^{1/2}} r, \quad (3.3)$$

the differential equation can be put in a “standard form”

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{d\xi^2} + \frac{N-1}{\xi} \frac{d\phi}{d\xi} = \phi^3 - 3\phi^2 + \delta\phi, \quad (3.4)$$

where the remaining parameter δ is defined by

$$\delta \equiv 8\lambda b/a^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Notice that b has units of $(\text{energy})^2$ and a has units of (energy) so that the parameter δ is dimensionless. Notice also that the choice of numerical coefficients in the transformation of equation (3.3) is arbitrary; different choices would lead to different numerical coefficients on the right hand side of Eq. (3.4). The boundary conditions now can be written

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \xi = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\phi = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

The parameter δ can vary between 0 and 2. In the limit $\delta \rightarrow 2$, the two vacuum states become degenerate (this limit is where the traditional thin wall limit is applicable). In the opposite limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the height of the barrier between the two vacua vanishes. A typical potential for an intermediate value of δ is shown in Figure 1.

A. Quantum Tunneling at Zero Temperature

In this subsection, we evaluate the Euclidean action for the $O(4)$ symmetric case, which determines the quantum tunneling rate at zero temperature. Using the rescaling of the problem described above, we can write the $O(4)$ symmetric Euclidean action as

$$S_{E4} = \frac{\pi^2}{2\lambda} \int_0^\infty \xi^3 d\xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \tilde{V}[\phi(\xi)] \right] \equiv \frac{\pi^2}{2\lambda} \mathcal{B}_4(\delta), \quad (3.8)$$

where in the second equality we have defined a reduced action $\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)$ which depends only on the parameter δ . We have also defined a non-dimensional (reduced) potential

$$\tilde{V} \equiv \frac{1}{4}\phi^4 - \phi^3 + \frac{\delta}{2}\phi^2. \quad (3.9)$$

As mentioned earlier, the relevant range of the parameter δ is

$$0 \leq \delta \leq 2, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\delta = 0$ corresponds to a vanishing potential barrier height and $\delta = 2$ corresponds to the limit of degenerate vacuum states. For each value of δ in this range, there exists a single solution $\phi_\delta(\xi)$ to the differential equation (3.4) for the boundary conditions (3.6 – 3.7). This solution can be used to calculate the corresponding reduced action $\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)$.

In the thin wall limit (i.e., the limit in which the two vacua are nearly degenerate), the solution can be obtained analytically [9]. In this limit, the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_{TW4}(\delta)$ takes the relatively simple form

$$\mathcal{B}_{TW4}(\delta) = \frac{2}{3}(2 - \delta)^{-3} \quad (3.11)$$

(this form is derived in the Appendix). We will find it convenient to express our results in terms of the ratio $\mathcal{R}_4$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)}{\mathcal{B}_{TW4}(\delta)} = \frac{3}{2}(2 - \delta)^3 \mathcal{B}_4(\delta). \quad (3.12)$$

Although the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)$ varies from 0 to ∞ over the allowed range of the parameter δ , the ratio $\mathcal{R}_4$ is a relatively slowly varying function. The thin wall approximation becomes valid as δ approaches 2, so the ratio $\mathcal{R}_4$ approaches unity. In the opposite limit in which the barrier disappears, δ approaches zero and $\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)$ (and hence $\mathcal{R}_4$) also approaches zero.

For each value of the parameter δ in the allowed range $0 \leq \delta \leq 2$, we find the solution to the equation of motion (3.4) using numerical techniques; we then use these solutions to determine the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)$ and the corresponding ratio $\mathcal{R}_4(\delta)$. The resulting function $\mathcal{R}_4(\delta)$ is shown in Figure 2. Since $\mathcal{R}_4$ has relatively simple behavior, we can approximate the function with a cubic polynomial. In the spirit of Ref. [22], we can write

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\delta) = \alpha_1\delta + \alpha_2\delta^2 + \alpha_3\delta^3, \quad (3.13)$$

where the α_j are constants. We find that $\alpha_1 = 13.832$, $\alpha_2 = -10.819$, and $\alpha_3 = 2.0765$. These values of the constants produce an approximation to the true (numerically determined) function with an absolute error which is bounded to be less than 0.004; the cubic polynomial gives the exact values at the endpoints $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$. The errors result from using a simple cubic polynomial to approximate the function $\mathcal{R}_4(\delta)$; by using polynomials of higher order to fit the numerical results, one can obtain even smaller

bounds on the errors at the expense of using a more complicated formula. The estimated numerical errors in our procedure are approximately an order of magnitude smaller than the error due to the use of a cubic polynomial.

Putting all of the results of this section together, we obtain the desired expression for the Euclidean action

$$S_{E4} = \frac{\pi^2}{3\lambda} (2 - \delta)^{-3} \left\{ \alpha_1 \delta + \alpha_2 \delta^2 + \alpha_3 \delta^3 \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

where the parameter $\delta = 8\lambda b/a^2$ is determined by the parameters in the original potential and where the constants α_j are given above. This expression is valid over the entire range of quartic potentials, from the thin wall (nearly degenerate) limit to the opposite limit of vanishing barrier height.

In order to show what the instanton solutions actually look like, we plot a sequence of solutions $\phi(\xi)$ in Figure 3 for various values of the parameter δ . As δ increases, the solutions extend to larger and larger (non-dimensional) radii ξ . As shown in Figure 3, the solutions for $\delta < 1.5$ have no well-defined radius, i.e., the solutions $\phi(\xi)$ vary smoothly from ϕ_0 to the false vacuum value $\phi = 0$. As the value of δ approaches that of the thin-wall limit ($\delta = 2$), however, the region over which the solution varies from ϕ_0 to 0 becomes small compared to the extent (in ξ) of the solution; hence, the thin-wall limit becomes a reasonable description in this case.

B. Thermal Tunneling at Finite Temperature

In this subsection, we calculate the Euclidean action in the $O(3)$ symmetric case. As in the previous section, we derive a semi-analytic expression for the action which can be used to determine the tunneling rate (see Eq. [2.4]). The action S_{E3} for the $O(3)$ symmetric case can be written

$$S_{E3} = \frac{\pi a}{2\lambda^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \xi^2 d\xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \tilde{V}[\phi(\xi)] \right] \equiv \frac{\pi a}{2\lambda^{3/2}} \mathcal{B}_3(\delta), \quad (3.15)$$

where we have used the same scaling transformation as before (Eq. [3.3]). In the second equality, we have defined the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_3(\delta)$ for the three dimensional case. Keep in mind, however, that the parameters a , b , and λ appearing in the potential are generally not the same as those in the potential for the zero temperature limit considered previously.

In the thin wall limit (i.e., the limit of nearly degenerate vacua), the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_3(\delta)$ takes the form

$$\mathcal{B}_{TW3}(\delta) \equiv \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{81} (2 - \delta)^{-2} \quad (3.16)$$

(see the Appendix). As before, we find it convenient to express the result in terms of the ratio $\mathcal{R}_3$

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}_3(\delta)}{\mathcal{B}_{TW3}(\delta)} = \frac{81}{16\sqrt{2}} (2 - \delta)^2 \mathcal{B}_3(\delta). \quad (3.17)$$

The resulting function $\mathcal{R}_3(\delta)$ is shown in Figure 4. Notice that the function $\mathcal{R}_3$ is relatively slowly varying over the allowed range of the parameter δ . We find that a reasonable fit to the numerically determined function can be found using a function of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\delta) = \sqrt{\delta/2} \left\{ \beta_1 \delta + \beta_2 \delta^2 + \beta_3 \delta^3 \right\}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\beta_1 = 8.2938$, $\beta_2 = -5.5330$, and $\beta_3 = 0.8180$. The absolute errors due to this polynomial-type approximation are bounded to be less than 0.033. Putting the results of this section together, we obtain the desired semi-analytic expression for the three dimensional Euclidean action:

$$S_{E3} = \frac{\pi a}{\lambda^{3/2}} \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{81} (2 - \delta)^{-2} \sqrt{\delta/2} \left\{ \beta_1 \delta + \beta_2 \delta^2 + \beta_3 \delta^3 \right\}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\delta = 8\lambda b/a^2$ and where the constants β_j are given above.

IV. SOLUTIONS FOR QUARTIC/LOGARITHMIC POTENTIALS

In this section we consider the tunneling problem for a potential of the general form

$$V(\varphi) = (2A - B)\sigma^2\varphi^2 - A\varphi^4 + B\varphi^4 \ln\left(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2}\right). \quad (4.1)$$

This form of the potential arises in simplified versions of the theory of electroweak interactions. For example, in the one-loop approximation, the effective potential for the Higgs boson in the electroweak model takes this general form [23]. In this paper, however, we decouple our discussion of tunneling from any specific model of particle physics. We simply take equation (4.1) as an expression for an effective potential and then determine semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action in terms of the parameters appearing in the potential.

Since this effective potential contains only three parameters, we can reduce the problem to a one parameter family of differential equations by using scaling transformations analogous to those of equation (3.3) in the previous section. In particular, we take

$$\phi = \varphi/\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = 2\sigma\sqrt{B}r. \quad (4.2)$$

We thus obtain the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{d\xi^2} + \frac{N-1}{\xi} \frac{d\phi}{d\xi} = \delta(\phi - \phi^3) + \phi^3 \ln \phi^2, \quad (4.3)$$

where $N = 3, 4$ as before and where the remaining parameter δ is defined in terms of the original parameters in the potential through

$$\delta \equiv \frac{2A - B}{2B}. \quad (4.4)$$

The boundary conditions are given by equations (3.6) and (3.7). Proceeding as in §III, we define a reduced action $\mathcal{B}_N(\delta)$ through

$$\mathcal{B}_N(\delta) = \int_0^\infty \xi^{N-1} d\xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \tilde{V}[\phi(\xi)] \right], \quad (4.5)$$

and hence

$$S_{E4} = \frac{\pi^2}{2B} \mathcal{B}_4(\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{E3} = \frac{2\pi\sigma}{\sqrt{B}} \mathcal{B}_3(\delta). \quad (4.6)$$

The reduced potential $\tilde{V}(\phi)$ can be written

$$\tilde{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \phi^4 \ln \phi^2 + 2\delta \phi^2 - \left(\delta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \phi^4 \right\}, \quad (4.7)$$

and has minima at $\phi = 0$ and at $\phi = 1$. The relevant range of the parameter δ is

$$0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (4.8)$$

where the limit $\delta = 0$ implies no potential barrier and the opposite limit $\delta = 1/2$ implies degenerate minima. In this latter (degenerate) limit, the thin-wall approximation is valid and we obtain the closed-form solution for the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_{TW4}$ for the $N = 4$ case (see the Appendix),

$$\mathcal{B}_{TW4} = 54I^4(1 - 2\delta)^{-3}. \quad (4.9)$$

Here, I is a pure number and is defined by the integral

$$I \equiv \int_0^1 dx [1 - x + x \ln x]^{1/2} \approx 0.419900, \quad (4.10)$$

where the numerical estimate has been obtained computationally. Similarly, we find the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_{TW3}(\delta)$ for the $N = 3$ case (see the Appendix),

$$\mathcal{B}_{TW3}(\delta) = \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{2} I^3 (1 - 2\delta)^{-2}. \quad (4.11)$$

Next we define the ratio of the reduced action to that of the thin wall limit:

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}_4(\delta)}{\mathcal{B}_{4TW}(\delta)} = \frac{(1 - 2\delta)^3}{54I^4} \mathcal{B}_4(\delta), \quad (4.12)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}_3(\delta)}{\mathcal{B}_{3TW}(\delta)} = \frac{3(1 - 2\delta)^2}{8\sqrt{2}I^3} \mathcal{B}_3(\delta). \quad (4.13)$$

The functions $\mathcal{R}_N(\delta)$ have been calculated numerically for the potential of equation (4.1). The results are shown in Figure 5 for $\mathcal{R}_4(\delta)$ and in Figure 6 for $\mathcal{R}_3(\delta)$. As in the case of purely quartic potentials (§III), the functions $\mathcal{R}_N(\delta)$ are relatively slowly varying

over the allowed range of the parameter δ . For the $N = 4$ case, the function $\mathcal{R}_4$ can be fit with a function of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\delta) = (2\delta)^{n_\gamma} \left\{ 1 + \gamma_1 \delta + \gamma_2 \delta^2 + \gamma_3 \delta^3 \right\}, \quad (4.14)$$

where $n_\gamma = 0.30$, $\gamma_1 = 2.0151$, $\gamma_2 = -5.5915$, and $\gamma_3 = 3.1225$. This expression produces an approximation to the true (numerically determined) function with errors bounded to be less than 0.0095. For the $N = 3$ case, the function $\mathcal{R}_3$ can be fit with a function of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\delta) = (2\delta)^{n_\mu} \left\{ 1 + \mu_1 \delta + \mu_2 \delta^2 + \mu_3 \delta^3 \right\}, \quad (4.15)$$

where $n_\mu = 0.557$, $\mu_1 = 4.2719$, $\mu_2 = -14.5908$, and $\mu_3 = 12.0940$. This expression produces an approximation to the true (numerically determined) function with errors bounded to be less than 0.0130.

Putting all of the results of this section together, we obtain the desired semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action in both four and three dimensions:

$$S_{E4} = \frac{27\pi^2 I^4}{B(1-2\delta)^3} (2\delta)^{n_\gamma} \left\{ 1 + \gamma_1 \delta + \gamma_2 \delta^2 + \gamma_3 \delta^3 \right\}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$S_{E3} = \frac{16\pi\sigma I^3}{3(1-2\delta)^2} \left(\frac{2}{B} \right)^{1/2} (2\delta)^{n_\mu} \left\{ 1 + \mu_1 \delta + \mu_2 \delta^2 + \mu_3 \delta^3 \right\}. \quad (4.17)$$

The parameter $\delta = A/B - 1/2$ and the constants I , n , γ_j , and μ_j are given above. These expressions are valid for the range $0 \leq \delta \leq 1/2$.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have derived semi-analytic expressions for the Euclidean action for the theory of a single scalar field with a quartic potential. We have derived similar expressions for effective potentials with quartic/logarithmic terms. For these potentials, we have found the action for both the four-dimensional $O(4)$ symmetric case (Eqs. [3.14] and [4.16]) and for the three-dimensional $O(3)$ symmetric case (Eqs. [3.19] and [4.17]). These results can be used to determine the decay probability per unit time per unit volume for both quantum (zero temperature) tunneling (see Eq. [2.1]) and for tunneling at finite temperature (see Eq. [2.7]). Our expressions are valid over the entire range of potentials, from the limit of nearly degenerate vacua (where the thin-wall limit is applicable) to the opposite limit of vanishing potential barrier height [24].

Although many workers in the field have used the expression for the Euclidean action in the thin wall limit, the results of this paper show that the thin wall limit does not provide a good approximation unless the potential is very nearly degenerate. As shown in both Figures 2 and 4 for the case of quartic potentials, the ratio $\mathcal{R}_N$ grows rather rapidly as δ is decreased below the value corresponding to degenerate vacua ($\delta = 2$). For the effective potential considered in §IV, the ratio $\mathcal{R}_N$ remains close to unity over a larger fraction of the allowed range of the parameter δ (see Figures 5 and 6) and thus the thin-wall limit provides a somewhat better approximation in this case.

The departure of the Euclidean action from its value in the thin wall limit can be important in applications. Consider, for example, the case of the inflationary universe. For inflationary models which proceed through a first order phase transition, the quantity that determines the dynamics is the bubble nucleation efficiency ε , defined by

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{K}{H^4} e^{-S_{E4}} \approx \frac{1}{64} \left[\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{\eta} \right]^4 e^{-S_{E4}}, \quad (5.1)$$

where H is the Hubble parameter (see, e.g., Refs. [1–6]). In the second approximate equality, η is the energy scale of the inflationary phase transition (often the GUT scale) and m_{Pl} is the Planck scale. In order for successful inflation to take place, the nucleation efficiency ε must start with a small value $\sim 10^{-4}$ and then increase to be of order unity at the end of the inflationary epoch [1–6]. For $m_{\text{Pl}}/\eta = 10^3$, we thus require

$$10^{-10} \leq e^{-S_{E4}} \leq 10^{-6}, \quad (5.2)$$

which clearly shows the need for an accurate determination of the action S_{E4} ; a factor of two error in the action can produce a large effect when $e^{-S_{E4}}$ is small.

Although the results of this paper are only valid for the particular forms of the potentials considered here, we note that other (non-quartic) potentials with two minima can often be reasonably well fit with quartic polynomials. The results of this paper can be used in such cases by approximating the true potential with a quartic form, although the degree of accuracy will be somewhat reduced. We also note that the results of this paper are limited to the case of $\lambda > 0$ (i.e., positive quartic terms in the potential). The well known solution [25] for the potential $V = -\lambda\varphi^4$ is not covered here, but the procedure of this paper can be easily adapted to find the action for potentials with negative quartic terms. Finally, we note that the procedure of this paper can be generalized even further; by rescaling the field and the radial coordinate (as in Eqs. [3.3]), we can eliminate two parameters from any polynomial potential [26].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank A. Dolgov, K. Freese, G. Kane, I. Rothstein, and R. Watkins for useful discussions. This work was supported by NASA Grant No. NAGW-2802 and by funds from the Physics Department at the University of Michigan.

APPENDIX: THE THIN WALL LIMIT

In this Appendix, we review the calculation of the Euclidean action in the limit that the two vacuum states are nearly degenerate. In this limit (generally known as the “thin wall limit”), the calculation can often be done analytically [9, 10, 11]. We begin by conceptually dividing the reduced potential $\tilde{V}(\phi)$ into two parts

$$\tilde{V}(\phi) = V_0(\phi) + V_\epsilon(\phi), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where V_0 is the form of the potential when the vacua are exactly degenerate and V_ϵ is the part of the potential that provides the asymmetry between vacua (this term is assumed to be small in this limit). The reduced action $\mathcal{B}_N$ (the quantity we want to approximate), can be written

$$\mathcal{B}_N = \int_0^\infty \xi^{N-1} d\xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \tilde{V}[\phi(\xi)] \right], \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $N = 3$ or 4 and where $\tilde{V}(\phi)$ is the reduced potential as defined in the text.

We now assume that the radius R of the bubble is large compared to its thickness. In terms of the function $\phi(\xi)$, this approximation assumes that the interval $\Delta\xi$ over which the field ϕ changes from its value in one vacuum state to its value in the other vacuum state is small compared to the value $\xi \cong R$ where this change occurs. We therefor divide the integral for $\mathcal{B}_N$ into 3 parts: $\xi < R$, $\xi \sim R$, and $\xi > R$. In the first region $\xi < R$, the field ϕ is sitting in the true vacuum state and

$$\tilde{V}(\phi) = -\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi}{d\xi} = 0. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The contribution to the action for this region is simply

$$\int_0^R \xi^{N-1} d\xi [\tilde{V}(\phi_T)] = -\frac{R^N}{N} \epsilon. \quad (\text{A4})$$

In the second region $\xi \sim R$, we assume that the field ϕ changes its value from one vacuum state to the other over an interval $\Delta\xi$ that is small compared to the radius R . With this assumption, the contribution to the action for this region becomes

$$\int_{\Delta\xi} \xi^{N-1} d\xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \tilde{V}[\phi(\xi)] \right] = R^{N-1} S_1, \quad (\text{A5a})$$

where we have defined the dimensionless one-dimensional action S_1 in the second equality. We also assume that R is large enough that the “friction” term $\sim d\phi/d\xi$ in the equation of motion for ϕ can be neglected (see Eq. [3.4]). In this case, we obtain the expression

$$S_1 = \int d\xi 2V_0(\phi) = \int_{\phi_F}^{\phi_T} d\phi \sqrt{2V_0}, \quad (\text{A5b})$$

where the integral in ϕ extends from the false vacuum state to the true vacuum state and where we have assumed $\tilde{V} \approx V_0$ (see Ref. [9] for further discussion). Finally, in the region $\xi > R$, the field ϕ is in its false vacuum state where $V = 0$ (and $d\phi/d\xi = 0$). Thus, we get no contribution to the action in this region.

Putting the above results together, we obtain the approximation

$$\mathcal{B}_{TWN} = R^{N-1} S_1 - \epsilon R^N / N, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where the bubble radius R remains unspecified. To determine the value of R , we extremize $\mathcal{B}_{TWN}$ with respect to R and obtain

$$R = (N - 1) S_1 / \epsilon. \quad (\text{A7})$$

We now use this expression for R to obtain the reduced action $\mathcal{B}_{TWN}$:

$$\mathcal{B}_{TWN} = \frac{(N-1)^{N-1}}{N} \frac{S_1^N}{\epsilon^{N-1}} . \quad (\text{A8})$$

Now all that remains is to find S_1 and ϵ for the potentials considered in this paper.

For the quartic potential (§III), we write the reduced potential in the form

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{1}{4}\phi^2(\phi-2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(2-\delta)\phi^2 , \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $\delta = 8\lambda b/a^2$ and varies over the range $0 \leq \delta \leq 2$. The first term in equation (A9) represents the degenerate part V_0 of the potential. The second term provides the asymmetry between the vacuum states and implies that $\epsilon = 2(2-\delta)$. Notice that the false vacuum is at $\phi = 0$ and the true vacuum is at $\phi = 2$ in the nearly degenerate limit (small ϵ). For this potential, we find that the one-dimensional action is given by

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^2 (2-\phi)\phi d\phi = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} , \quad (\text{A10})$$

and hence the reduced action becomes

$$\mathcal{B}_{TWN} = \frac{(N-1)^{N-1}}{N 3^N} \frac{2^{1+N/2}}{(2-\delta)^{N-1}} . \quad (\text{A11})$$

The expressions for $N = 4$ and 3 have been used in equations (3.11) and (3.16), respectively, in the text.

For the effective potential of §IV (see Eq. [4.1]), we write the reduced potential as

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \phi^4 \ln \phi^2 + 2\delta\phi^2 - \left(\delta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \phi^4 \right\} , \quad (\text{A12})$$

where $\delta = A/B - 1/2$ and varies over the range $0 \leq \delta \leq 1/2$. In this case, the false vacuum is at $\phi = 0$ and the true vacuum is at $\phi = 1$ for all values of δ . The energy difference ϵ is given by

$$\epsilon = -\tilde{V}(\phi = 1) = \frac{(1-2\delta)}{8} . \quad (\text{A13})$$

The degenerate potential V_0 is given by equation (A12) evaluated with $\delta = 1/2$. Using these results, we find that

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^1 \phi d\phi [\phi^2 \ln \phi^2 + 1 - \phi^2]^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} I , \quad (\text{A14})$$

where we have defined the dimensionless quantity I through

$$I \equiv \int_0^1 dx [1 - x + x \ln x]^{1/2} . \quad (\text{A15})$$

As discussed in the text, I has a value of ≈ 0.419900 .

With the above results, we find the desired expression for the reduced action in the thin wall limit:

$$\mathcal{B}_{TWN} = \frac{(N-1)^{N-1}}{8N} \frac{I^N 8^{N/2}}{(1-2\delta)^{N-1}} . \quad (\text{A16})$$

The expressions for $N = 4$ and 3 have been used in equations (4.9) and (4.11), respectively, in the text.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [2] A. H. Guth and E. J. Weinberg, *Nucl. Phys. B* **212**, 321 (1983).
- [3] D. La and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **376**, 62 (1989); D. La and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Lett.*, **220 B**, 375 (1989).
- [4] F. C. Adams and K. Freese, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 353 (1991); A. Linde, *Phys. Lett. B* **249**, 18 (1990).
- [5] P. J. Steinhardt and F. S. Accetta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2740 (1990).
- [6] M. S. Turner, E. J. Weinberg, and L. M. Widrow, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 2384 (1992).
- [7] See, e.g., the review of A. D. Dolgov, *Phys. Rep.*, in press; see also N. Turok, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1803 (1992); M. Dine, R. Leigh, P. Huet, A. Linde, and D. Linde, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 550 (1992).
- [8] A. D. Linde, *Phys. Lett. B* **70**, 306 (1977); A. D. Linde, *Phys. Lett. B* **92**, 199 (1980); A. H. Guth and E. J. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 1131 (1980); P. J. Steinhardt, *Nucl. Phys. B* **179**, 492 (1981); R. A. Flores and M. Sher, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 1679 (1983); P. Arnold, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 613 (1989).
- [9] S. Coleman, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2929 (1977).
- [10] M. B. Voloshin, I. Yu. Kobzarev, and L. B. Okun, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, **20**, 644 (1975).
- [11] S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, 1985).
- [12] The nucleation of topological defects during inflation has been studied by R. Basu, A. H. Guth, and A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 340 (1991); R. Basu and A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 2345 (1992).
- [13] K. Lee and E. J. Weinberg, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B 267**, 181 (1986).
- [14] M. J. Duncan and L. G. Jensen, *Phys. Lett. B* **291**, 109 (1992).

- [15] A. Linde, *Nucl. Phys. B* **216**, 421 (1983).
- [16] C. Callen and S. Coleman, *Phys. Rev. D* **16**, 1762 (1977).
- [17] Euclidean time is related to usual time through $t_E = it$. The tunneling rate is associated with the equation of motion in imaginary time because the imaginary part of the energy is responsible for the decay rate; see, e.g., Refs. [9, 11] for further discussion.
- [18] S. Coleman, V. Glasser, and A. Martin, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **58**, 211 (1978).
- [19] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3357 (1974); L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3320 (1974); D. A. Kirzhnits and A. D. Linde, *Ann. Phys.* **101**, 195 (1976); A. D. Linde, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **42**, 389 (1979).
- [20] The opposite case with a positive coefficient for the cubic term and the true vacuum at negative φ can be obtained by a reflection $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$, which does not change the dynamics.
- [21] When gravitational effects are considered, the absolute scale of the false vacuum plays a role, but the effect is $\mathcal{O}[(\eta/m_{\text{Pl}})^4]$, where η is the energy scale of the potential and m_{Pl} is the Planck mass; see S. Coleman and F. De Luccia, *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 3305 (1980).
- [22] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1965).
- [23] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36**, 294 (1976); A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory* (Nobel Symp. No. 8) ed. N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968) 367; A. D. Linde, *JETP Lett.* **23**, 64 (1976); S. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 1888 (1973).
- [24] Keep in mind, however, that the semi-classical techniques used in this paper can break down when the potential barrier height vanishes (when the parameter δ is nearly zero).
- [25] S. Fubini, *Nuovo Cim.* **34A**, 525 (1976).
- [26] The procedure of this paper itself is a generalization of that of Ref. [15], where potentials with only two parameters are introduced and rescalings are used to reduce the calculation to a single numerical problem. Notice that in the limit that $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ (and hence $\delta \rightarrow 0$), the expressions for the action given in equations (3.14) and (3.19) for quartic potentials are nonzero. These limiting expressions are the correct form of the Euclidean action for tunnelling in a cubic potential. This problem has been done in Ref. [15] and the results are in numerical agreement with those obtained here.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A generic quartic potential $V(\phi)$. The potential has been shifted both vertically and horizontally to place the false vacuum at the origin ($\phi = 0$, $V = 0$).

Figure 2. The ratio $\mathcal{R}_4(\delta)$ of the true Euclidean action for the $O(4)$ symmetric theory to that obtained in the “thin wall limit”. This function is valid for all quartic potentials, from the limit of nearly degenerate vacua (at $\delta = 2$) to the opposite limit of vanishing barrier height (at $\delta = 0$). The dashed line at $\mathcal{R}_4 = 1$ corresponds to the thin wall limit.

Figure 3. Instanton solutions $\phi(\xi)$ for values of $\delta = 1.0, 1.5, 1.9$, and 1.95 . The solutions extend to larger values of ξ for larger values of δ .

Figure 4. The ratio $\mathcal{R}_3(\delta)$ of the true Euclidean action for the $O(3)$ symmetric theory to that obtained in the “thin wall limit”. This function is valid for all quartic potentials, from the limit of nearly degenerate vacua (at $\delta = 2$) to the opposite limit of vanishing barrier height (at $\delta = 0$). The dashed line at $\mathcal{R}_3 = 1$ corresponds to the thin wall limit.

Figure 5. The ratio $\mathcal{R}_4(\delta)$ for the effective potential of §IV. Here, $\mathcal{R}_4$ is the ratio of the true Euclidean action for the $O(4)$ symmetric theory to that obtained in the “thin wall limit”. The dashed line at $\mathcal{R}_4 = 1$ corresponds to the thin wall limit.

Figure 6. The ratio $\mathcal{R}_3(\delta)$ for the effective potential of §IV. Here, $\mathcal{R}_3$ is the ratio of the true Euclidean action for the $O(3)$ symmetric theory to that obtained in the “thin wall limit”. The dashed line at $\mathcal{R}_3 = 1$ corresponds to the thin wall limit.